文章编号:1001-9014(2012)03-0203-07

DOI:10.3724/SP. J. 1010.2012.00203

# Accurate atmospheric transmittance model for O<sub>2</sub> absorption band near 762 nm

ZHANG Zhao-Xian<sup>1</sup>, SHU Xiao-Zhou<sup>1</sup>, CHU Jun-Hao<sup>1,2</sup>, LI Zheng<sup>3</sup>

(1. National Laboratory for Infrared Physics, Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200083, China;

2. Institute of Information Science and Technology, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China;

3. Beijing Institute of Applied Meteorology, Beijing 100029, China)

Abstract: An atmospheric transmittance model for molecular oxygen absorption band near 762 nm is expressed by a polynomial including temperature and pressure. The polynomial coefficients are expressed as another power series of cosine of incident angle. Similarly, a mean atmospheric transmittance model is also given. Numerical tests indicate that under vast majority of circumstances the fitting errors (RMS) of the atmospheric transmittance model and those of the mean atmospheric transmittance model are less than 0.0001 and 0.0005, and their maximal errors are less than 0.0005 and 0.003, respectively. Both models were applied to the study on remote sounding of atmospheric pressure profile from space. In addition, a correction method is given for the interval error of atmospheric transmittance.

Key words: atmospheric transmittance; atmospheric absorption;  $O_2$  absorption band; transmittance model; atmospheric remote sounding

PACS:42.68. Wt

# 762 nm 氧分子吸收带在非均匀大气中不同投射角的 大气透过率准确模型

张肇先1, 疏小舟1, 褚君浩1.2, 李 铮3

(1.中国科学院上海技术物理研究所 红外物理国家实验室,上海 200083;2. 华东师范大学 信息科学技术学院,上海 200062; 3.北京应用气象研究所,北京 100029)

摘要:762 nm 氧分子吸收带的大气透过率模型用包含温度和气压的多项式表示,其多项式系数表示为入射角的余弦的另一个幂级数.类似地,还给出了平均大气透过率模型.数值试验表明,在绝大多数情况下,透过率模型与平均透过率模型的拟合误差(RMS)分别小于0.0001和0.0005,其极大误差分别小于0.0005和0.003.此二模型已用于从空间探测气压廓线的研究.此外,还给出了大气透过率间隔误差的订正方法.

关键 词:大气透过率;大气吸收;O,吸收带;透过率模型;大气探测

中图分类号:P407.6 文献标识码:A

## Introduction

Atmospheric remote sounding from space is based on spectral radiometry and calculations of atmospheric transmittances. Therefore, it is very essen-

**Biography**: Zhang Zhao-Xian (1936-), male, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, China, professor. Research fields since 1978: developments of Atmospheric Sounding of Infrared Spectroradiometer (ASIS) in meteorological satellites and Cirrus Cloud Sounder (CCS) in spacecraft, polarimetry of four Stokes parameters from space, and remote sounding of atmospheric pressure profile from space, and atmospheric molecular oxygen transmittance model, etc. E-mail:zhangzx@mail.sitp. ac. cn.

tial to establish an accurate and computationally fast transmittance model. In 1969 Smith has given polynomial representations of carbon dioxide and water vapor transmittances<sup>[1]</sup>, which were applied to study on pressure sensing of the stratosphere and the lower

收稿日期:2011-05-25,修回日期:2012-02-25

Received date: 2011 - 05 - 25, revised date: 2012 - 02 - 25

基金项目:国家自然基金创新研究群体科学基金(60821092)

Foundation item: Supported by National Innovation Research Group Foundation of China(60821092)

mesosphere by solar occultation<sup>[2]</sup> and to study on remote sounding of the mixing ratio of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere from space<sup>[3]</sup>. In 1970s and 1990s, McMillin, Fleming, et al. have provided different transmittance models of atmospheric absorbing gases<sup>[4-8]</sup>. These models were applied to data processing of TOVS on the meteorological satellites NOAA in the United States<sup>[9]</sup>. Later, Eyre has also given a computationally fast transmittance model<sup>[10]</sup>, which was applied by the United Kingdom Meteorological Office (UKMO) to calculation of the TOVS atmospheric transmittance. On the other hand, an atmospheric transmittance model was established also for the molecular oxygen absorption band near 762 nm with lower accuracy<sup>[11]</sup>.

Based on physical essence, we would like to give a very accurate, computationally fast and convenient atmospheric transmittance model and a mean atmospheric transmittance model in an inhomogeneous atmosphere at different incident angles for the  $O_2$  absorption band near 762 nm. For establishing the models the atmospheric refraction and the global curvature are taken into account. In this study we regarded the atmospheric pressures at different altitudes in the U. S. Standard Atmosphere 1976 (USSA)<sup>[12]</sup>,  $\tilde{p}$ , as an altitude scale and divide the atmosphere into 40 levels or layers as same as specified by NOAA / NESDIS of the United States<sup>[4]</sup>. The lowest pressure level of the atmospheric pressure profile of the USSA is 1000 hPa corresponds to an altitude of 110.26 m. Consequently, both the models given in this paper can be applied to the atmospheric pressure range at the altitude of 110.26 m from 1075 hPa to 925 hPa. In order to calculate the atmospheric transmittance from the top of the atmosphere to the earth surface,  $\tau_s$ , an extrapolation is needed by using an available atmospheric transmittance profile. The atmospheric transmittance model and the mean atmospheric transmittance model given in this paper were applied to the study on the remote sounding of atmospheric pressure profile from space<sup>[13-15]</sup>.

#### **1** Theoretical basis

The atmosphere is divided into *n* layers. Between the top of the atmosphere (i = 0) and the first pressure level (i = 1) is the first layer (i = 1). The upper and the lower boundaries of the *i*<sup>th</sup> layer are defined by the (i - 1)<sup>th</sup> and the *i*<sup>th</sup> pressure levels, respectively. Then, the monochromatic atmospheric transmittance  $\tau_i$  of molecular oxygen in a slant path from the top of the atmosphere to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  level can be expressed as a product of the monochromatic atmospheric transmittance  $\tau_{i-1}$  from the top of the atmosphere to the  $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$  level and the monochromatic atmospheric transmittance of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  layer,  $\Delta \tau_i$ , namely,

 $\tau_i = \tau_{i-1} \Delta \tau_i$  . (1) Considering the equation of state gives the expression of  $\Delta \tau_i$  in equation (1):

$$\Delta \tau_i = \exp(-L_{o_2}) = \exp\left(-\int_{z_i}^{z_{-1}} k_i q_{o_2} \rho_i \mu_i dz\right)$$
  
$$\approx \exp(-k_i' \rho_i' \mu_i' q_{o_2} \Delta z_i) , \quad (2)$$
  
$$= \exp\left(-k_i' \frac{M p_i' \mu_i' q_{o_2} \Delta z_i}{R^* T_i'}\right)$$

in which L, k,  $q_{0_2}$ ,  $\rho$ , z,  $\mu$ , M, R<sup>\*</sup> and T are optical depth, absorption coefficient, O<sub>2</sub> mass mixing ratio with a value of 0. 209476, atmospheric density, altitude, cosine of incident angle  $\theta$ , molecular weight of dry air, universal gas constant and temperature, respectively; furthermore, prime represents mean values in the the *i*<sup>th</sup> layer and generally, averages in a layer, e. g.,  $p_i' = (p_{i-1} + p_i)/2$ .

The absorption coefficient k is a product of spectral line strength S and spectral line pattern function G. In the O<sub>2</sub> absorption band near 0.762  $\mu$ m, both S and G are functions of 1/T and  $p^{[16]}$ , herein G may be the Lorentz spectral line pattern function  $G_L$  (for lower atmosphere), or the Doppler spectral line pattern function  $G_D$  (for upper atmosphere), or the mixing spectral line pattern function  $G_m$  (for whole atmosphere). On the other hand, the O<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio  $q_{O_2}$  is constant below about 80 km. Therefore, letting the constant

$$\gamma_i = \frac{M\mu'_i q_{0_2} \Delta z_i}{R^*} \quad , \tag{3}$$

Eq. (2) can be rewritten as

$$\Delta \tau_i = \exp\left(-\gamma'_i k'_i \frac{p'_i}{T'_i}\right) \quad . \tag{4}$$

Consequently, the function in square bracket in Eq. (4) can be expanded as a power series:

$$\Delta \tau_{i} = 1 - \frac{1}{1!} \gamma'_{i} k'_{i} \frac{p'_{i}}{T'_{i}} + \frac{1}{2!} \left( \gamma'_{i} k'_{i} \frac{p'_{i}}{T'_{i}} \right)^{2} - \cdots \quad .$$
 (5)

All the terms in the square bracket in Eq. (5) can be regarded as a functions of  $1/T_i$ ,  $1/T_{i-1}$  and  $1/T'_i$  as well as  $p_i$ ,  $p_{i-1}$  and  $p'_i$ . Further, we can expand  $\Delta \tau_i$  as a new polynomial that can be expressed as a sum of first order terms, second order terms, couple terms of  $1/T_i$ ,  $1/T_{i-1}$  and  $1/T_i$ 'as well as  $p_i$ ,  $p_{i-1}$  and  $p_i'$ , and a remaining term  $O(1/T_{i-1}, 1/T_i, 1/T_i', p_{i-1}, p_i, p_i')$  that is very small and can be omitted:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \tau_{i} &= \beta_{i,1} + \beta_{i,2} \frac{1}{T_{i-1}} + \beta_{i,3} \frac{1}{T_{i}} + \beta_{i,4} \frac{1}{T_{i}'} + \beta_{i,5} \frac{1}{T_{i-1}^{2}} \\ &+ \beta_{i,6} \frac{1}{T_{i}^{2}} + \beta_{i,7} \frac{1}{T_{i}'^{2}} + \beta_{i,8} p_{i-1} + \beta_{i,9} p_{i} + \beta_{i,10} p_{i}' \\ &+ \beta_{i,11} p_{i-1}^{2} + \beta_{i,12} p_{i}^{2} + \beta_{i,13} p_{i}'^{2} + \beta_{i,14} \frac{p_{i-1}}{T_{i-1}} \\ &+ \beta_{i,15} \frac{p_{i}}{T_{i-1}} + \beta_{i,16} \frac{p_{i}'}{T_{i-1}} + \beta_{i,17} \frac{p_{i-1}}{T_{i}} + \beta_{i,18} \frac{p_{i}}{T_{i}} \\ &+ \beta_{i,19} \frac{p_{i}'}{T_{i}} + \beta_{i,20} \frac{p_{i-1}}{T_{i}'} + \beta_{i,21} \frac{p_{i}}{T_{i}'} + \beta_{i,22} \frac{p_{i}'}{T_{i}'} \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{T_{i-1}}, \frac{1}{T_{i}}, \frac{1}{T_{i}'}, p_{i-1}, p_{i}, p_{i}'\right) \end{split}$$

### 2 Numerical models

Any radiometric instrument has a bandwidth $\Delta v$ , so that all transmittances needed are always normalized polychromatic transmittances in the channels with a bandwidth of  $\Delta v$ , i. e.  $\tau_i(\Delta v)$ . They are concerned with the atmospheric temperature profile and the atmospheric pressure profile over the  $i^{\text{th}}$  level. Therefore, some bandwidth modification terms similar to those provided by McMillin, and Fleming<sup>[4]</sup> should be added in the polychromatic transmittance expression, i. e. Eq. (6). In this paper  $\tau_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) appeared later represents the polychromatic transmittances.

After adding bandwidth modification terms to Eq. (6), fitting calculations were carried out over and over again, and the terms with very small contribution to  $\Delta \tau_i$  were removed progressively. In the meantime, calculations indicate that the polynomial coefficients vary with incident angle  $\theta_i$  and can be represented by a power series of  $\mu_i (=\cos \theta_i)$ .

At last, a polychromatic  $\tau_i$  model in a slant path from the top of the atmosphere (i=0) to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  level is given with high accuracy as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \tau_{0} = 1 \\ \tau_{i} = \tau_{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{12} c_{ij} X_{ij} \\ c_{ij} = \sum_{k_{\mu}=1}^{6} C_{ijk_{\mu}} \mu_{i}^{\prime k_{\mu}-1} \\ c_{1,2} = c_{1,4} = c_{1,3} = {}_{1,9} = c_{1,11} = c_{1,12} = 0 \\ (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n); \end{cases}$$
(7)

$$X_{i,1} = 1$$
 , (8)

$$X_{i,2} = \frac{1}{T_{i-1}} , \qquad (9)$$

$$X_{i,3} = \frac{1}{T'_i} = \frac{2}{T_{i-1} + T_i} \quad , \tag{10}$$

$$X_{i,4} = p_{i-1} , (11)$$

$$X_{i,5} = p'_i = \frac{p_{i-1} + p_i}{2} \quad , \tag{12}$$

$$X_{i,6} = X_{i,3}^2 , \qquad (13)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} A_{i,7} = A_{i,4} \\ V = V^2 \end{array}$$
(14)

$$\begin{array}{l} X_{i,8} = X_{i,5} \\ X_{i,9} = X_{i,2}X_{i,4} \\ \end{array}, \tag{15}$$

$$X_{i,10} = X_{i,3}X_{i,5} , \qquad (17)$$

$$X_{i,11} = \Delta T_i^* = \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ i}}^{\iota} (T_m - \tilde{T}_i) (\tilde{p}_m - \tilde{p}_{m-1}) \quad , \quad (18)$$

$$X_{i,12} = \Delta p_i^* = \sum_{m=1}^{i} (p_m - \tilde{p}_i) (\tilde{p}_m - \tilde{p}_{m-1}) \quad . \quad (19)$$

In the equations above, tilde represents the values listed in the USSA, asterisk represents bandwidth modification terms for polychromatic radiation and the coefficients c with values of zero show that the corresponding terms do not exist. For example,  $c_{1,11} = c_{1,12} = 0$  represents that the 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> terms at the first level, i. e.  $c_{1,11}X_{1,11}$  and  $c_{1,12}X_{1,12}$ , do not exist.

In Eq. (7) the subscript "0" represents the top of the atmosphere. In the calculations we take the pressure level with 0.01 hPa as a reference for the top of the atmosphere. In addition, the atmospheric refraction and the global curvature are taken into account.

In the purely physical retrieval method of the atmospheric pressure profile by means of O<sub>2</sub> absorption band near 762 nm, the mean atmospheric transmittances  $\tau_i$ 'from the top of the atmosphere to the *i*<sup>th</sup> layer are usually needed too.  $\tau_i$ 'is regarded as a product of  $\tau_i$  and a modification term  $\Delta \tau_i$ ':

$$\tau'_i = \tau_i \Delta \tau'_i \quad . \tag{20}$$

After fitting over and over again, we arrive at the polychromatic  $\tau_i$ 'model in a slant path from the top of the atmosphere to the *i*<sup>th</sup> level as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \tau'_{1} = \tau_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{6} c'_{1,j} X'_{1,j} \\ \tau'_{i} = \tau_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{10} c'_{ij} X'_{ij} \quad (i = 2, 3, \dots, n) \\ c'_{ij} = \sum_{k_{\mu}=1}^{4} C'_{ijk_{\mu}} \mu'^{k_{\mu}-1}_{1,j} \\ (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \end{cases}$$

$$(21)$$

herein

$$X'_{1,1} = 1$$
 , (22)

$$X'_{1,2} = \frac{2}{T_0 + T_1} , \qquad (23)$$

$$X'_{1,3} = \frac{p_0 + p_1}{2} \quad , \tag{24}$$

$$X'_{1,4} = X'_{1,2}^{2} , \qquad (25)$$
$$X'_{1,6} = X'_{1,2}^{2} , \qquad (26)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 1,5 \\ X'_{1\,6} = X'_{1\,2}X'_{1\,3} \\ \end{array}; \tag{27}$$

and 
$$V_{1}$$
 (28)

$$X_{i,1} = 1$$
 , (28)

$$X'_{i,2} = \frac{1}{T_i} , (29)$$

$$X'_{1,3} = \frac{7}{T_{i-1} + 3T_i} , \qquad (30)$$

$$X'_{1,5} = \frac{P_{1-1} + P_{1}}{8} \quad , \tag{32}$$

$$X'_{i,6} = X'^2_{i,3} \quad , \tag{33}$$

$$X'_{i,7} = X'^{2}_{i,4} \quad , \tag{34}$$

$$X'_{i,8} = X'^{2}_{i,5} \quad , \tag{35}$$

$$X'_{i,9} = X'_{i,2}X'_{i,4} \quad , \tag{36}$$

$$X'_{i,10} = X'_{i,3}X'_{i,5} \quad , \tag{37}$$

$$(i = 2, 3, \cdots, n).$$

### **3** Determination of coefficients

The coefficients  $C_{ijk_{\mu}}$  ( $i = 1, 2, ..., n; j = 1, 2, ..., 12; k_{\mu} = 1, 2, ..., 6$ ) in Eq. (7) should be determined in two steps. At first, a set of atmospheric temperature-pressure profiles is given, whose number is much more than 12. The corresponding  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau'_i$  can be taken from the available  $\tau_i$  database and the  $\tau'_i$  database, respectively, and the predictors  $X_{ij}$  can be calculated according to Eq. (8) to Eq. (19) for each channel and each zenith angle  $\theta_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n). Then, for each channel and each zenith angle the coefficients  $c_{ij}$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n; j = 1, 2, ..., 12) are overdetermined level by level from the first pressure level (i = 1) to the lowest level (i = n) according to Eq. (7) by means of least square method.

As second step, for each channel all pairs  $(c_{ij}, \mu_i)$  for same level  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  and same term  $(j = 1, 2, \dots, 12)$  are used for over-determination of the polynomial coefficients  $C_{ijk_{\mu}}(k_{\mu} = 1, 2, \dots, 6)$  according to Eq. (7) by means of the least mean method. The over-determination is carried out from the first level to the lower levels in turn.

Likewise, the polynomial coefficients  $C'_{ijk_{\mu}}(k_{\mu} = 1,2,3,4)$  in Eq. (21) are also over-determined in

two steps.

#### 4 Calculation and results

We divide the atmosphere into 40 levels or layers (n = 40), as same as specified by NOAA / NESDIS. In order to determine the coefficients in the atmospheric transmittance  $\tau_i$  model and those in the mean atmospheric transmittance  $\tau'_i$  model, at first, we should establish a database of  $\tau_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and that of  $\tau'_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) at different incident angles for 190 channels in the O<sub>2</sub> absorption band near 762 nm including some proximate window channels. Both  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau'_i$  are calculated according to an improved and accurate k-distribution method<sup>[17]</sup> by using the spectral line parameters in the HITRAN 1996. For the calculation we take the atmospheric refraction and the global curvature into account.

In this paper we take a bandwidth  $\Delta v$  of 1 cm<sup>-1</sup> and consider 182 remote sounding channels in the O<sub>2</sub> absorption band near 762 nm (759. 2 ~ 769. 8 nm) with the central wave-numbers of 12990, 12991, ..., 13171 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 8 window channels outside the absorption band with the central wave-numbers of 12930, 12940, 12950, 13180, 13190, 13195, 13205 and 13220 cm<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, due to lack of measurements of the spectral response function of an interferometer spectrometer we assume a spectral response function for their 190 channels to be a parabola:

$$\Phi(v) = 1 - 2(v - v_0)^2$$

The used 26 incident angles outside the atmosphere are 0°, 10°, 20°, 26°, 30°, 33°, 36°, 38°, 40°, 42°, 44°, 46°, 47. 5°, 49°, 50°, 51°, 52°, 53°, 54°, 55°, 56°, 57°, 57. 9°, 58. 7°, 59. 4° and 60°. The fitting errors of  $\tau_i$  in RMS sense calculated according to the improved *k*-distribution method in the range of  $\theta = 0^\circ - 60^\circ$  are less than  $3 \times 10^{-6}$  relative to  $\tau_i$  calculated according to the accurate line-by-line method (LBL) without any simplification<sup>[17]</sup>.

Nine artificial atmospheric temperature profiles for determining the polynomial coefficients of the  $\tau_i$ model and those of the  $\tau_i'$  model are close to the profiles specified by McMillin and Fleming. In addition, we use also other 7 artificial atmospheric temperature profiles that are made by translation of the  $\tilde{T}_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., 40) in USSA, namely  $\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_i \pm 8$ K,  $\tilde{T}_i \pm 16$ K and  $\tilde{T}_i \pm 24$ K (i = 1, 2, ..., 40). On the other hand, we use the 10 atmospheric pressure profiles as follows:

2

$$\begin{cases} p_i = p_n \exp\left(-\sum_{k=i}^n \frac{g'_k M \Delta z_k}{R \, {}^n T'_k}\right) \\ (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n - 1) \\ p_n = \tilde{p}_{40} (1 + t) \\ t = \pm 0.075, \pm 0.050, \pm 0.030, \pm 0.015, \pm 0.005 \end{cases}$$
(38)

At last, according to the  $\tau_i$  model  $190 \times 40 =$ 7600 formulae are fitted by means of  $190 \times 26 \times 16 \times$  $10 \times 40 = 31616000 \tau_i$  data. According to these formulae  $\tau_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) can be calculated for all the 190 channels at arbitrary incident angles.

In order to check the accuracy of  $\tau_i$  model effectively, we select two extreme atmospheric temperature profiles with very thick and very strong inverse temperature layers above the earth surface. Both the temperature profiles are highly close to those given by McMillin and Fleming<sup>[4]</sup>. The one is the extreme at-</sup> mospheric temperature profile registered at the meteorological station Point Mugu, whereas another is artificial and named Abnormal profile. Calculations indicate that due to an accumulation effect the fitting error increases with depression of altitude, while it is insensitive to the incident angle. Then, the fitting errors (RMS) of  $\tau_i$  and  $[\tau_i - \tau_i (\text{real})]/\tau_i (\text{real})$  are calculated one by one according to  $16 \times 10$  temperaturepressure models using 26 incident angles and 40 levels for each of all the 190 channels and further the total fitting errors for every channel are calculated. In addition, their maxima are also selected. At last, in order to describe the efficiency of the  $\tau_i$  model, statistics are made for distribution of the number of channel appearing in different fitting error (RMS) ranges for both the two extreme atmospheric temperature profiles. The results are listed in Table 1.

jority of circumstances the calculated values of  $\tau_i$  are different from their real values in an RMS sense by less than 0. 0001 ( $e_1$ ) for all the 190 channels, whereas the relative errors (RMS)( $e_2$ ) are less than 0.0002. On the other hand, the maximal relative errors of  $e_1$ , i. e.  $e_3$ , are less than 0.0005, and that of  $e_2$ , i. e.  $e_4$ , closes to 0.018.

At last, the fitting errors (RMS) and their maxima for Point Mugu atmospheric temperature profile and Abnormal profile in the  $\tau_i$  model given in this paper were compared with those in other  $\tau_i$  models, as shown in Table 2.

Table 1 Distributions of number of channel with different fitting errors (RMS) for  $\tau_i$  and  $[\tau_i - \tau_i (\text{real})]/\tau_i (\text{real})$ 

表1	$\tau_i $ 和 $[\tau_i - \tau_i (real)] / \tau_i (real)$	拟合误差在不同精度范
	围内的通道个数	

_									
	fitting	3 • 10 - 3 -	1 • 10 - 3 -	5 • 10 <sup>-3</sup> -	2 • 10 - 4 -	1 • 10 - 4 -	<1.10 <sup>-5</sup>		
	errors	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	<1.10		
	Point Mugu profile:								
	$e_1$	0	0	5	13	114	58		
	$e_2$	0	2	16	49	66	57		
	$e_3$	3	5	72	45	59	6		
	$e_4$	24	50	33	18	60	5		
	Abnormal profile:								
	$e_1$	0	0	6	5	105	74		
	$e_2$	0	2	23	30	65	70		
	$e_3$	2	3	84	28	62	11		
	$e_4$	50	29	32	11	57	11		

 $*e_1$ : Number of channels with fitting errors of  $\tau_i$  in a given range,

 $e_2$ : Number of channels with fitting errors of  $[\tau_i - \tau_i(\text{real})]/\tau_i(\text{real})$  in a given range.

 $e_3$ : Number of channels with maximal fitting errors of  $\tau_i$  in a given range,

 $e_4$ : Number of channels with maximal fitting errors of  $[\tau_i - \tau_i(\text{real})]/\tau_i(\text{real})$  in a given range

The results indicate that the accuracy of the  $\tau_i$ model given in this paper is much higher than those of

 Table 2
 Comparisons among fitting errors (RMS) (left) and maximal fitting errors (right) of different atmospheric transmittance models

表2 二	不同大气透射模型拟合误差(左)与最大拟合误差(右)之比较
------	------------------------------

As can be seen from Table 1, under the vast ma-

Dongo of Emore		<1.10 <sup>-4</sup>	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ –		<1.10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 • 10 - 3 -	
Range of Errors		<1.10	3 • 10 - 3		<1.10	3 · 10 <sup>-3</sup>	
This paper	number of channel	351/364	0/364	number of channel	138/364	5/364	
Ref. [4]	in range	1/12	1/12	in range	0/12	9/12	
Ref. [5]	of fitting	5/60	11/60	of	0/60	50/60	
	error/	1/31	10/31	maximal	0/31	21/31	
Ref. [6]	total number of		(.00460090:	fitting		(.00310345:	
	channel		2/31)	error/		9/31)	
				total number of			
				channel			
Ref. [11]			RM	IS = 0.0101			
		( f	or all the 48 channels	s in band 12850 - 13085	cm <sup>-1</sup> )		

Notes: Reference [7, 8]: The fitting errors (RMS) and the maximal fitting errors are not given.

models given in other studies.

Likewise, according to the  $\tau_i'$  model 7600 formulae are fitted by means of 31616000  $\tau_i'$  data as well. The results are given in Table 3. As can be seen from Table 3, under the vast majority of circumstances the calculated values of  $\tau_i'$  for all the channels are different from their real values in an RMS sense by less than 0. 0005 ( $e'_1$ ), whereas their relative errors (RMS),  $e'_2 = [\tau_i' - \tau_i'(\text{real})]/\tau_i'(\text{real})$ , are less than 0. 001. On the other hand, the maximal errors of  $e'_1$ , i. e.  $e'_3$ , are less than 0.003, and that of  $e'_2$ , i. e.  $e'_4$ , can be as large as 0.019. Ten channels with  $e'_4$  larger than 0.01 are not used in the retrieval procedure of atmospheric pressure.

Table 3 Distributions of number of channel with different fitting errors (RMS) for  $\tau'_i$  and  $[\tau'_i - \tau'_i (real)]/\tau'_i$  (real)

表3 τ'<sub>i</sub>和 [τ'<sub>i</sub> - τ'<sub>i</sub>(real)]/τ'<sub>i</sub>(real) 拟合误差在不同精度范 围内的通道个数

	fitting	1.9.10 <sup>-2</sup> -	3.10 -3 -	1.10 -3 -	5.10 -4 -	2 • 10 - 4 -	1.10 -4 -	.1 10 -5	
	errors	$3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	5.10 -4	$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	<1.10 <sup>-5</sup>	
	Point Mugu profile:								
	$e_1'$	0	2	16	5	18	64	45	
	$e'_2$	0	21	37	19	13	56	44	
	$e'_3$	15	55	17	23	21	57	2	
	$e'_4$	59	29	10	16	19	55	2	
Abnormal profile:									
	$e_1'$	0	2	21	47	17	64	39	
	$e'_2$	0	28	35	25	9	54	39	
	$e'_3$	8	62	36	19	19	45	1	
	$e'_4$	66	33	14	14	17	45	1	

 $*e'_1$ : Number of channels with fitting errors of  $\tau'_i$  in a given range,

 $e'_2$ : Number of channels with fitting errors of  $[\tau'_i - \tau'_i(\text{real})]/\tau'_i(\text{real})$  in a given range,

 $e'_3$ : Number of channels with maximal fitting errors of  $\tau'_i$  in a given range,

 $e'_{4}$ :Number of channels with maximal fitting errors of  $[\tau'_{i} - \tau'_{i}(\text{real})]/\tau'_{i}(\text{real})$  in a given range.

It is noted that the probability for the appearing of large maximal errors is actually very small. Furthermore, two extreme profiles, i. e. Point Mugu profile and Abnormal profile, are used for accuracy tests of the  $\tau_i$  models. In other words, the accuracy tests are carried out under most severe conditions. Therefore, the atmospheric transmittances and the mean atmospheric transmittances calculated for actual atmosphere is more accurate than those listed in the Table 1 and Table 3.

# 5 Method of removing interval error of atmospheric transmittance

In the iterative procedure the atmospheric pressure equation in differential form, Eq. (38), can be used many times for retrieval and forward calculation. However, it is not accurate enough to divide the atmosphere into 40 levels or layers to calculate the pressure at the *i*<sup>th</sup> level,  $p_i(i=1,2,...,40)$ , so an interval error of  $p_i$ , i. e.  $e(i)p_i$ , would be introduced. Herein e(i) represents the relative interval error of  $p_i$ :

 $e(i) = \delta p_i / p_i = [p_i (\text{with interval error}) - p_i] / p_i$  . (39)

However, the interval errors of  $\tau_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n) caused by dividing the atmosphere can be corrected, if priori values of the interval error of  $p_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n) are calculated in advance according to T(p).

Considering the optical depth  $L_i = k_i' q_i' \rho_i' \mu_i' \Delta z_i$  of an atmospheric layer and the equation of state gives

$$\Delta \tau_{i} = \frac{\tau_{i}}{\tau_{i-1}} = \exp(-L_{i}) = \exp\left(-k'_{i} q'_{i} \frac{M p'_{i} \mu'_{i}}{R^{*} T'_{i}} \Delta z_{i}\right) \quad , \qquad (40)$$

in which  $p_i'$  and  $T_i'$  are averages of the p and T at the  $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$  and the  $i^{\text{th}}$  levels, respectively. Considering Eqs. (38) to (40), we arrive at the interval error of  $\Delta \tau_i$  caused by the interval error of  $p_i$ :

$$\delta(\Delta \tau_{i}) = \delta\left(\frac{\tau_{i}}{\tau_{i-1}}\right) = \frac{\tau_{i}}{\tau_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{p'_{i}} \ln \frac{\tau_{i}}{\tau_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{\delta p_{i-1} + \delta p_{i}}{2}$$
$$= \frac{\tau_{i}}{\tau_{i-1}} \ln \frac{\tau_{i}}{\tau_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{p_{i-1}e(i-1) + p_{i}e(i)}{2p'_{i}} \quad .$$
(41)

The correction is carried out sequentially as  $\tau_i$  is being calculated from the top of the atmosphere downwards, so  $\tau_{i-1}$  in Eq. (41) is a corrected value  $\hat{\tau}_{i-1}$ . Then, we arrive at the relative interval error of atmospheric transmittance:

$$\frac{\delta \tau_i}{\tau_i} = \ln \frac{\tau_i}{\hat{\tau}_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{p_{i-1}e(i-1) + p_i e(i)}{2p'_i} \quad . \quad (42)$$

Now,  $p_i$  calculated by means of Eq. (38) according to the 590 fine altitude intervals specified in the USSA under circumstance of given T(p) are regarded as the real atmospheric pressure profile and  $p_i$  (with interval error) is also calculated for the 40 atmospheric pressure levels specified by NOAA / NESDIS, so e(i) can be determined according to Eq. (39). Consequently,  $\delta \tau_i / \tau_i$  is calculated according to Eq. (42). At last, we arrive at the correction value  $\delta \tau_i$  and the correct value $\tau_i + \delta \tau_i$  of the atmospheric transmittance.

### 6 Conclusions

In this paper absorption coefficient is regarded, based on physical essence, as a function of atmospheric pressure and reciprocal of atmospheric temperature. As a result, under vast majority of circumstances the fitting errors (RMS) of atmospheric transmittance  $\tau_i$  model and their maximal errors are less than 0.0001 and 0.0005, respectively. The accuracy of the  $\tau_i$  model given in this paper is much higher than those of other models.

In the meantime, a mean atmospheric transmittance  $\tau_i'$  model is also given in this paper. The fitting errors (RMS) and their maxima of the mean transmittance  $\tau_i'$  model are less than 0.0005 and 0.003, respectively, and also considerable accurate.

Both the  $\tau_i$  model and the  $\tau_i'$  model were applied to the study on remote sounding of atmospheric pressure profile from space<sup>[13-15]</sup>.

In this paper, a method for removing internal errors of atmospheric tremsmittance is given, but temporarily we can not give a proof for the efficiency of the correction equation, because the accurate  $\tau_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 40$ ) model calculated for the atmosphere divided into 590 very fine intervals is not available. On the other hand, the interval errors of  $\tau_i$  caused by those of  $T(p_i)$  are not considered in this paper.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors express their sincere appreciation to Prof. Dinbao Kuang and Prof. Wei Lu of Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for supporting this study energetically. The authors thank also Prof. Hong Yin of Peking University for providing the atmospheric transmittance data and the mean atmospheric transmittance data, and Dr. Zhigang Hang of the Beijing Institute of Applied Meteorology for providing the solution program of the least square method in the initial stages of this study.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Smith W L. A polynomial representation of carbon dioxide and water vapor transmission [M]. Washington: ESSA Tech. Rep. NESC 47, 1969.
- [2] Park J H, Russel III J M, Drayson R. Pressure sensing of the atmosphere by solar occultation using broadband CO<sub>2</sub> absorption [J]. Appl. Opt., 1979, 18(12):1950–1954.
- [3] Zhang Z. Remote sounding of the mixing ratio of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere from a satellite [J]. Opt. Eng., 1993,32(3):602-607.

- [4] McMillin L M, Fleming H E. Atmospheric transmittance of an absorbing gas: a computationally fast and accurate transmittance model for absorbing gases with constant mixing rations in inhomogeneous, atmosphere [J]. Appl. Opt., 1976, 15(2):358-363.
- [5] Fleming H E, McMillin L M. Atmospheric transmittance of an absorbing gas. 2: a computationally fast and accurate transmittance model for slant paths at different incident angles[J]. Appl. Opt., 1977, 16(5):1366-1370.
- [6] McMillin L M, Fleming H E, Hill M L. Atmospheric transmittance of an absorbing gas. 3: a computationally fast and accurate transmittance model for absorbing gases with variable mixing ratios [J]. Appl. Opt., 1979, 18 (10): 1600-1606.
- [7] McMillin L M, Crone L J, Goldberg M D, et al. Atmospheric transmittance of an absorbing gas. 4. OPTRAN: a computationally fast and accurate transmittance model for absorbing gases with fixed and with variable mixing ratios at variable viewing angles [J]. Appl. Opt., 1995, 34 (27): 6269–6274.
- [8] McMillin L M, Crone L J, Kleepspies T J. Atmospheric transmittance of an absorbing gas. 5. Improvements to the OPTRAN approach[J]. Appl. Opt., 1995, 34(36):8396-8399.
- [9] Weinreb M P, Fleming H E, McMilling L M, et al. Transmittances for the TIROS Operational Vertical Sounder [M]. Washington: NOAA Tech. Rep. NESC85, 1981.
- [10] Eyre J R. A fast radiative transfer model for satellite sounding systems [C]. Reading, U, K. : ECMWF Res. Dep. Tech. Mem. 176, 1991.
- [11] Pierlussie J. H. Tsai Chang-Ming. Molecular transmittance band model for oxygen in the visible [J]. Appl. Opt. 1986,25(15):2458-2460.
- [12] COESA (U. S. Committee on Extension to the Standard Atmosphere). U. S. Standard Atmosphere, 1976 [M].
   Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1976.
- [13]Zhang Z. Remote sounding of atmospheric pressure profile from space, Part 1: Principle[J]. J. Appl. Remote Sensing., 2010,4:043521.
- [14] Zhang Z, Lin T, Chu J. Remote sounding of atmospheric pressure profile from space, Part 2: Channel selection [J]. J. Appl. Remote Sensing. ,2010,4:04352.
- [15] Zhang Z, Lin T, Chu J. Remote sounding of atmospheric pressure profile from space, Part 3: Error estimation[J].
   J. Appl. Remote Sensing. ,2010,4:043536.
- [16] Liu C, Liu W. Atmospheric Radiation [M]. Nanjing: Nanjing University Publishing House, 1990.
- [17] Yin H. Improvement of k-distribution precision for calculating the transmission of satellite remote sensing channel
   [J]. J. of Appl. Meteorol. Science. 2005, 16:811-819.